

## **ADDENDUM**

## Comments Received

### 1) Comments Received From Cllr Beech – Local Member

19/01/21

Good Morning David,

Thank you for sending me a copy of the report regarding the upgrade to PF73 Audley, I have waded through it and spoken to various people including Audley Parish Council's clerk who tells me that the Parish have no objections to the upgrade, I have only received one objection and that was off a farmer by the name of Mr. Royston Pepper, he was strongly against it and has a solicitor on board.

Having had horses in the past ( my daughter was an avid rider ) she and loads of other horse owners in and around the Audley Parish often rode in Apedale, to me with all the extra traffic on the road these days I believe that this facility is very much needed.

Kind regards

Ann

25/02/21

Dear Cllr Beech

Hi Ann, just as a follow up to the earlier email, I have checked the consultation file and we do not have a Mr Royston Pepper as a known consultee on the route. He could of course be resident with - or related to - a landowner we are aware of and I wonder if you have any contact details so I can ascertain whether he or his solicitor is intent on making a submission. As I have received nothing directly from him it is difficult to set out the nature of his objection/s to the Panel. Any help of course is gratefully received.

Kind regards

David

---

2) **Comments Received From Audley Parish Council – No Objections – Supported Application**

01/02/21

Hi David

Just to let you know they supported this application.

Thanks

Clare

Clare Withington

Parish Clerk

Audley Rural Parish Council

---

3) **Comments Received From Mr R Pepper- General comments made about paths in area (see below) No Objection To This Specific Application**

10/03/21

Mr Royston Pepper spoke with David Adkins re: paths in Audley after making contact through Cllr Ann Beech. Most comments related to the recent footpath applications brought to Panel by Robin Carr and not directly to the subjects of this application. However, the general comment was raised about the factual content of user evidence forms, which he questioned and wished me to take to Panel. He had some interest/usage of the land but no objection was made to this specific application – excepting a general doubt that collectively all these routes are unlikely to have had any use as bridleways given the nature and history of the land.

For clarity Mr B Pepper is a landowner on the route and a consultee, Mr R Pepper has some interest/ usage of the land on the route.