ADDENDUM

Response to Draft Report – Landowner (Mr James Holt) 08/12/20

From: James Holt REDACTED EMAIL ADDRESS

Sent: 08 December 2020 16:20

To: Adkins, David (Corporate) < david.adkins@staffordshire.gov.uk >

Subject: Footpath 26

Dear Mr Adkins, (Your ref: FPM.137 SKP/JH)

Thank you for your letter of 2/12/20 re footpath no 26 which you wish to make it a 'Bridleway .`

As you correctly say in your letter, I now own the land in question and I'm afraid I must strongly object to any change which would take good quality land out of production and require a 3 x metre width across my field with a legal requirement to keep it clear.

I will however consider any reasonable financial compensation to give land for this purpose.

Any new path would have to be clearly marked because currently there are many members of the public walking off the correct route between footpath 26 and 28 either turning right through the field gates or walking along the stream north of the sewage works.

Please feel free to ring me to discuss on REDACTED PHONE NUMBER

Yours sincerely

James Holt

Reply Email to Landowner Response (David Adkins) 09/12/20

From: Adkins, David (Corporate) **Sent:** 09 December 2020 18:14

To: James Holt REDACTED EMAIL ADDRESS

Subject: RE: Footpath 26

Dear Mr Holt

Thank you for the details which were received yesterday - I will of course add these to the file and contact you again once the consultation period is over.

noted.	•	,	•
With kind regards			
David			

In the meantime I am aware of the points raised and can confirm your response is

Comments on Landowner Response – (David Adkins) 22/01/21

The landowners' objection is noted.

The comments raised by the landowner have been noted and acknowledged - it has been confirmed that these will be brought to the attention of the Panel.

In relation to the width it is confirmed that a **minimum width of 2 metres** is sufficient for a cross-field bridleway. A **maximum width of 3 metres** may also be considered as a limitation should the Panel be minded to agree with the Officer recommendation.